NumHyp 2027 Abstract submission form

Only for submission by email

Please use this form only if you cannot use the online submission form.

Send it by email to numhyp27@ateneo.univr.it with subject "Abstract submission", together with the PDF file and the source file (.tex or .docx) of your abstract and, if your LaTeX source includes a figure, the figure file.

Deadline: Friday 12 February 2027 (strict).

PRESENTING AUTHOR

NAME

SURNAME

EMAIL

COUNTRY (OF YOUR INSTITUTION)

INSTITUTION (FULL NAME)

Used for official documents (e.g. acceptance and invitation letters).

INSTITUTION (SHORT INFORMATIVE NAME FOR BADGE)

Shown on your conference badge.

ABSTRACT

TITLE OF THE ABSTRACT

KEYWORDS At least 2 and up to 6 keywords.

Keyword 1

Keyword 2

Keyword 3 (optional)

Keyword 4 (optional)

Keyword 5 (optional)

Keyword 6 (optional)

PREFERRED PRESENTATION FORMAT

☐ Talk ☐ Poster ☐ No preference

The final format will be decided by the Scientific and Organizing Committees, taking into account the number of submissions, the thematic balance of the sessions and the evaluation of the abstracts.

USE OF AI TOOLS

We would like to get a picture of **how AI tools** (e.g. ChatGPT, Claude, Gemini, Copilot) **are used in our community**.

If you are willing, please tell us how they were used in the work presented in your abstract: it only takes three multiple-choice questions.

Answering is optional, and your answers will not be considered in the evaluation of your abstract.

WOULD YOU LIKE TO TELL US ABOUT YOUR USE OF AI?

- ☐ No, I prefer not to answer.
- ☐ Yes, for aggregated statistical purposes only.
- ☐ Yes, and I would like this information to be shown together with my abstract, provided that around half of the participants make the same choice.

If you answered No, skip Q1–Q3 and go directly to the Notes section.
If you answered Yes, please answer Q1–Q3.
For each question, tick the option that fits best. If you wish, add a more precise percentage in the last column.

Q1 – Research ideas

To what extent did AI tools contribute to developing the ideas behind this work?

	RANGE	LEVEL	DESCRIPTION	EXACT % (OPT.)
☐	**~0–5%**	**AI-free or negligible**	The ideas were developed without AI tools, or with negligible use (e.g. asking an AI tool once or twice for a definition or a reference).	
☐	**~5–20%**	**Minor support**	AI was used to search for information or as a study aid, e.g. to explain, summarize or simplify background material and the existing literature.	
☐	**~20–50%**	**Moderate support**	AI was used to discuss or brainstorm ideas; the authors selected, developed and verified them.	
☐	**~50–75%**	**Substantial support**	Some key ideas or approaches were first suggested by AI, then developed and verified by the authors.	
☐	**~75–100%**	**Major contribution**	The main ideas of the work were generated by AI; the authors' role was mainly to check and refine them.	

Each level also includes the uses described in the lower levels.

Q2 – Code

To what extent was AI used to write the code related to this work?

	RANGE	LEVEL	DESCRIPTION	EXACT % (OPT.)
☐	**N/A**	**Not applicable**	No code is involved in this work.	
☐	**~0–5%**	**AI-free or negligible**	The code was written by the authors without AI tools, or with negligible use.	
☐	**~5–20%**	**Minor support**	AI worked line by line on code written by the authors (e.g. syntax corrections, local debugging, updating parameters), or wrote auxiliary scripts, e.g. for plotting or input/output. The authors read every line, fully understand it and could have written equivalent code themselves.	
☐	**~20–50%**	**Moderate support**	AI generated a few complete routines or functions, or restructured or extended parts of the authors' code. The authors read every line, fully understand it and could have written equivalent code themselves.	
☐	**~50–75%**	**Substantial support**	A large part of the code, including some core algorithms, was generated by AI. The authors did not read every line, and validated the code mainly by checking its results.	
☐	**~75–100%**	**Major contribution**	Most or all of the code was generated by AI. The authors did not read every line, and validated it by checking its results.	

Each level also includes the uses described in the lower levels.

Q3 – Manuscript and abstract

To what extent was AI used to write the related paper (or this abstract, if there is no paper)?

	RANGE	LEVEL	DESCRIPTION	EXACT % (OPT.)
☐	**~0–5%**	**AI-free or negligible**	The text was written by the authors without AI tools, or with negligible use.	
☐	**~5–20%**	**Minor support**	AI was used as an interactive dictionary to check grammar and spelling, to rephrase single sentences, or to translate or slightly improve passages fully written by the authors (possibly in a mix of languages).	
☐	**~20–50%**	**Moderate support**	AI was used to rephrase or restructure whole paragraphs, or to write the text starting from an outline prepared by the authors.	
☐	**~50–75%**	**Substantial support**	AI produced first drafts of some sections, which the authors then substantially revised.	
☐	**~75–100%**	**Major contribution**	Most of the text was generated by AI; the authors mainly reviewed and edited it.	

Each level also includes the uses described in the lower levels.

ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD ABOUT YOUR USE OF AI TOOLS? (OPTIONAL)

NOTES

ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE TO TELL US (OPTIONAL)

Data protection. The personal data and files you send will be used by the organizers solely for the organization of NumHyp 2027 and will be treated confidentially. However, their processing may rely on third-party services, whose handling of data is beyond the organizers' control. If accepted, your abstract may be published in the book of abstracts and on the conference website. By sending this form, you acknowledge and accept these conditions.